

Discussion of

“Housing and Debt over the Life Cycle and over the Business Cycle”

by M. Iacoviello and M. Pavan

Makoto Nakajima

FRB Philadelphia

May 19, 2009

FMG Conference on
Housing Financial Markets and Macroeconomy

Motivation

- **Micro** (since 1970s)
 - ① Rise in volatility of individual earnings
 - ② Development in the mortgage loans market

- **Macro** (before and after 1983)
 - ① Decline in volatility of Y , C , H , I (Great Moderation)
 - ② Decline in σ_D and $corr(Y, D)$

- Can **Micro** account for **Macro**?

Main Elements of the Model

- 1 Life-cycle general equilibrium model
- 2 Uncertainty
 - Uninsured idiosyncratic productivity shock
 - Aggregate TFP shock
- 3 Labor-leisure decision
- 4 Housing for consumption and saving
- 5 Tenure decision
 - Own: Higher utility, Can be used as collateral
 - Rent: Lower moving cost, No minimum size restriction
- 6 Missing:
 - Land
 - House price
 - Mortgage loans choice
 - Location choice

Findings: 1/3 and 2/3

1 Cross-section

- 1 Replicates asset distribution
- 2 Life-cycle profile of tenure decision
- 3 Lumpy and low frequency of housing adjustment

2 Business cycles

- 1 Highly volatile ($\approx 4\sigma_Y$) and procyclical residential investment
 - Davis and Heathcote (2005)
- 2 Volatile ($\approx \sigma_Y$) and procyclical mortgage debt balance.
 - Model replicates procyclicality.
 - But way too large volatility ($\times 4$).

Findings: 3/3: Effect of Micro Changes on Macro Dynamics

- 1 Higher individual earnings instability
 - Little effect on Y , C , IK
 - Reduce σ_D and σ_{IH}
 - Intuition: Agents become less responsive to aggregate shocks (really?)
- 2 Lower downpayment requirement of mortgage loans
 - Little effect on Y , C , IK
 - Reduce σ_D and σ_{IH}
 - Raise homeownership rate
 - Intuition: Less constrained debtors, more homeowners

Findings: Effect of Micro Level Changes on Macro Dynamics

	SD% 70s-80s	SD% 90s-00s	Ratio	SD% Only $\sigma_y \uparrow$	SD% Only $m \downarrow$
US economy					
Y	2.09	1.27	0.61		
C	1.20	0.61	0.51		
IK	5.03	4.14	0.82		
IH	8.24	3.78	0.46		
D	2.23	1.79	0.80		
Model economy					
Y	2.10	2.01	0.96	2.05	2.06
C	1.65	1.69	1.02	1.62	1.68
IK	3.84	3.63	0.95	3.46	3.83
IH	6.14	4.92	0.80	5.92	5.51
D	9.03	1.44	0.16	3.46	6.46

- Little effect on Y , C , and IK (How about L ?)
- Decline in volatility of IH but small fraction of the observed change.
- Too much decline in volatility of D

Comments on Findings 1/2

- Effect of a lower downpayment ratio on homeownership rate:
 - Chambers et al. (2008) say “Little”
 - The authors say “Huge”
 - Why the difference?
- Timing of events:
 - Great moderation: started around 1983
 - Rise in individual earnings instability: around late 1970s (OK)
 - Rise in homeownership rate: started around 1994 (NOT)
 - Why don't do the transition, with new events occur each year?
- Very very tough to solve → For the main results, could live without:
 - Life-cycle
 - Lumpiness of housing
 - Tenure decision
 - Labor leisure decision
- Do the sensitivity exercises for experiments as well!

Comments on Findings 2/2

- As for the decline in the volatility of macroeconomic aggregates (except for D), a decline in the volatility of TFP can account for most of it (Arias et al. (2006)).
- Why σ_D excessively high in the baseline?
 - Too many constrained debtors initially?
 - Too many marginal renters initially?
- Should match number of debtors, especially, number of borrowing-constrained debtors (for example, using β)
- Should investigate cyclical properties of homeownership rate, or the number of first-time buyers (consistent with data?)
- Borrowers' hours worked is countercyclical?

(Nitpicky) Comments about Calibration

- It's important to capture well the individual productivity shock, but... What are the (i) initial distribution of the persistent shock z and (ii) transitory shock t in the model?

	ρ_z	σ_z	σ_{z0}	σ_t
Storesletten et al. (2004)	0.952	0.168	0.378	0.255
Iacoviello and Pavan (2009) (70-80s)	0.900	0.131	?	?

- With housing, capital share of 0.33 seems high.
- Housing depreciation rate of 5% seems high.

(Nitpicky) Comments about Computation

- This model seems highly non-linear. Is it enough to...
 - Use only the first moment?
 - Use only the linear term in the forecasting function?
- Even with labor-leisure choice, only one forecasting function (for $\frac{K}{L}$).
 - Basically, prohibit agents to know K when making decision.
 - Potentially optimal decision changes with the use of K .
 - How about other information, like H ?
- With Tauchen (1986) method, 3 abscissas is too small to replicate well the original AR(1) process, especially for a high persistence. It also depends on how to place abscissas.
- Number of size of housing is 15. A big chunk of lumpiness is generated by the small choice set of housing. Sensitivity?

Concluding Remarks

- Ambitious project.
- Compute a very tough model.
- Very rich model but authors do not fully exploit the richness:
 - Heterogeneous effect of recessions on homeowners (with and without debt) and renters?
 - Heterogeneous welfare implications of business cycles?
- Why focus on the changes in the cyclical of debt, rather than...
 - Changes in the level of debt
 - House price

References

- Arias, Andres, Gary D. Hansen, and Lee E. Ohanian**, "Why Have Business Cycle Fluctuations Become Less Volatile?," *NBER Working Paper*, 2006.
- Chambers, Matthew, Carlos Garriga, and Don E. Schlagenhauf**, "Accounting for Changes in the Homeownership Rate," 2008. forthcoming, *International Economic Review*.
- Davis, Morris A. and Jonathan Heathcote**, "Housing and the Business Cycles," *International Economic Review*, 2005, *46*, 751–784.
- Iacoviello, Matteo and Marina Pavan**, "Housing and Debt over the Life Cycle and over the Business Cycle," 2009. Unpublished Manuscript.
- Storesletten, Kjetil, Chris I. Telmer, and Amir Yaron**, "Consumption and Risk Sharing over the Life Cycle," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 2004, *51*, 609–633.
- Tauchen, George**, "Finite State Markov-Chain Approximations to Univariate and Vector Autoregressions," *Economics Letters*, 1986, *20*, 177–181.